



FUNDAÇÃO
GETULIO VARGAS

EPGE

Escola de Pós-Graduação
em Economia

Ensaio Econômicos

Escola de

Pós Graduação

em Economia

da Fundação

Getulio Vargas

Nº 537

ISSN 0104-8910

***Optimal auctions with multidimensional types and the
desirability of exclusion***

Paulo Klinger Monteiro

Benar Fux Svaiter

Frank H. Page Jr

Março de 2004

Optimal Auctions with Multidimensional Types and the Desirability of Exclusion*

Paulo Klinger Monteiro

EPGE-FGV

Praia de Botafogo 190 sala 1103

22250-900 Rio de Janeiro RJ

Brazil

Benar fux Svaiter

IMPA

Estrada Dona Castorina 110

22460-320 Rio de Janeiro RJ

Brazil

Frank H. Page Jr.[†]

Department of Finance

University of Alabama

Tuscaloosa, AL 35487

USA

March, 2004

Abstract

Within the context of a single-unit, independent private values auction model, we show that if bidder types are multidimensional, then under the optimal auction exclusion of some bidder types will occur. A second contribution of the paper is methodological in nature. In particular, we identify conditions under which an auction model with multidimensional types can be reduced to a model with one dimensional types without loss of generality. Reduction results of this type have achieved the status of folklore in the mechanism design literature. Here, we provide a proof of the reduction result for auctions.

Keywords: Optimal Auctions, Type Exclusion, Multidimensional Types.

*Monteiro and Svaiter acknowledge the financial support of CNPq-Brazil. Page acknowledges the financial support and hospitality of CERMSEM, University of Paris I and financial support from the Department of Finance at the University of Alabama.

[†]corresponding author: fpage@cba.ua.edu

1 Introduction

Many results in the mechanism design literature rely heavily upon the assumption that private information is one-dimensional. What are the consequences for optimality if private information is multidimensional? The main contribution of this paper is to show that, for the case of a single-unit auction model with independent private values, if private information (in the form of bidder types) is multidimensional, then the exclusion of types is a necessary condition for optimality of the auction mechanism (see Theorem 2). A similar type of result was gotten by Armstrong in his paper on nonlinear pricing for multiproduct monopolists. In particular, Armstrong (1996) showed that if consumer utility is increasing in all arguments (i.e., in the goods vector *and* in the types vector) and convex and homogeneous of degree one in the types vector and if the set of consumer types is strictly convex with dimension greater than one, then under the optimal nonlinear pricing mechanism a set of types with positive measure will not be served (i.e., will be excluded) by the monopolist. Our main result, which requires neither convexity and homogeneity of utility with respect to types nor strict convexity of the set of types, suggests that in a wide variety of auction environments type exclusion may be general property of optimality whenever multidimensional private information is present.

The second contribution of our paper is methodological in nature. In particular, we identify conditions under which an auction model with multidimensional types can be reduced to a one-dimensional model without loss of generality. Reduction results of this type are well-known in the mechanism design literature, and are often used without proof. Here, we provide a proof of the reduction result for independent private values auctions (see Theorem 1).

2 The model

There is one object to be sold at an auction with n bidders. The i^{th} bidder bases his valuation of the object on a multidimensional parameter

$$t_i \in T = \prod_{j=1}^m [a_j, b_j] \subset \mathbb{R}^m, m > 1$$

which summarizes his private information. We shall refer to t_i as the i^{th} bidder's type, and we shall assume that the i^{th} bidder's type t_i is distributed according to a continuous probability density $f_i : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{++}$. Thus, for every (Borel) subset of types $B \subset T$, the probability that bidder i has type t_i

contained in B is given by

$$P_i(B) := \int_B f_i(t) d\lambda(t),$$

where λ is the Lebesgue measure on T . Bidder i values the object according to the function

$$U^i : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, if bidder i has private information $t_i \in T$, then the value or utility that the i^{th} bidder assigns to the object is $U^i(t)$. We shall assume that the function U^i is continuously differentiable. Since the i^{th} bidder's utility function U^i is real-valued and continuous, the range of utilities (or valuations), denoted by $U^i(T)$, is given by some closed bounded interval $[\alpha_i, \beta_i]$. We shall assume the following:

Density Assumption: The distribution function

$$F_i^u(x) = P_i \{t \in T : U^i(t) \leq x\} = \int_{\{t \in T : U^i(t) \leq x\}} f_i(t) d\lambda(t),$$

induced by the i^{th} bidder's utility function U^i has a continuous density $f_i^u(\cdot)$ such that $f_i^u(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$.

The *density assumption* will be satisfied for bidder i if for every bidder type $t \in T$, the vector of partial derivatives (i.e., the gradient vector) is such that,

$$\nabla U^i(t) = \left(\frac{\partial U^i}{\partial t_1}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial U^i}{\partial t_m}(t) \right) \neq 0.$$

For a proof, see Hoffmann-Jørgensen (1994) sections 8.10.3 and 8.12.

The following theorem is proved in the appendix.

Theorem 1 (*Reduction Result*):

The optimal auction in the multidimensional case is the same as optimal auction obtained by Myerson (1981) for the one-dimensional case. In particular, if each bidder's marginal valuation

$$u^i - \frac{1 - F_i^u(u^i)}{f_i^u(u^i)},$$

is increasing in the bidder's valuation $u^i \in [\alpha_i, \beta_i]$, given the distribution of valuation F_i^u , then the optimal auction delivers the object to the bidder with the highest marginal valuation.

Let $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$. Recall that $T = \prod_{j=1}^m [a_j, b_j]$. Thus, $a \in T$ is the type vector for which each component is as small as possible. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 2 (*Exclusion Result*):

If the i^{th} bidder's utility function is such that $\frac{\partial U^i}{\partial t_j}(a) > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, then in the optimal auction there is exclusion of types of bidder i .

Proof. It suffices, by continuity, to show that $f_i^u(\alpha_i) = 0$. Then every type with utility near α_i will be excluded. Let M be a bound for f_i . If $x > \alpha_i$,

$$F_i^u(x) = \int_{\{t \in T; U^i(t) \leq x\}} f(t) d\lambda(t) \leq M\lambda(\{t \in T; U^i(t) \leq x\}).$$

Now by the continuity of the partial derivatives, there is a $r > 0$ such that

$$\delta = \min \left\{ \frac{\partial U^i}{\partial t_j}(t); 1 \leq j \leq m, t \in T, \sum_{j=1}^m |t_j - a_j| \leq r \right\} > 0.$$

For any $t \in T$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^m |t_j - a_j| \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} U^i(t) - U^i(a) &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} (U^i(a + s(t - a))) ds = \\ &= \int_0^1 (U^i)'(a + s(t - a)) \cdot (t - a) ds \geq \delta \sum_{i=1}^m (t_i - a_i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $U^i(t) \leq x$ then for every j , $|t_j - a_j| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m (t_i - a_i) \leq \frac{x - U^i(a)}{\delta} = \frac{x - \alpha_i}{\delta}$. Therefore

$$\lambda(\{t; U^i(t) \leq x\}) \leq \lambda \left(\left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^m; |z_j - a_j| \leq \frac{x - \alpha_i}{\delta} \text{ for all } j \right\} \right) = \frac{(x - \alpha_i)^m}{\delta^m}.$$

Since

$$f_i(\alpha_i) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \alpha_i} \frac{F_i^u(x) - F_i^u(\alpha_i)}{x - \alpha_i} \leq \frac{M}{\delta^m} \lim_{x \rightarrow \alpha_i} (x - \alpha_i)^{m-1}$$

and $m > 1$ we conclude that $f_i(\alpha_i) = 0$ ending the proof. QED

Remark 1 Consider the one dimensional case with $T = [a, b]$. Then type t is excluded if and only if $t f_i(t) \leq 1 - F_i(t)$. For example if the distribution is uniform on $[a, b]$ there is no exclusion if $\frac{a}{b-a} > 1$ i.e. if $2a > b$.

Remark 2 Also, we see from our the last remark that what drives exclusion with multidimensional types is that $f_i(\alpha_i) = 0$ is a general property.

Remark 3 The hypothesis $\frac{\partial U^i}{\partial t_j}(a) > 0$ is necessary for the result. For example if $U_i(t_1, t_2) = t_1 - t_2/2$ the conclusion will not be true in general.

Example 1 As an example we calculate the exclusion set in a simple case with the uniform distribution. Consider $U^i(t) = t_1 + t_2$. We suppose that t is uniformly distributed in $[1, 2]^2$. The distribution of U^i given by

$$F_i(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{(u-2)^2}{2} & \text{if } 2 \leq u \leq 3 \\ 1 - \frac{(4-u)^2}{2} & \text{if } 3 \leq u \leq 4, \end{cases}$$

has density $f_U(u) = \begin{cases} u - 2 & \text{if } 2 \leq u \leq 3 \\ 4 - u & \text{if } 3 \leq u \leq 4. \end{cases}$

Note that the density function is continuous and it is zero for $u = 2, 4$. Thus, the set of excluded types is $\left[2, \frac{4+\sqrt{10}}{3}\right]$.

Appendix

In this appendix we prove theorem 1. For convenience the auctioneer will be bidder 0. We define \mathcal{P} as the set of probability distributions on $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Thus $\mathcal{P} = \left\{ (q_j)_{j=0}^n \geq 0, \sum_{j=0}^n q_j = 1 \right\}$. The interpretation is that q_j is the probability that bidder j receives the object. Thus q_0 is the probability that the auctioneer keeps the object. We consider on T^n the product probability measure. The auction proceed as follows:

1. The auctioneer announces the measurable functions¹ $q : T^n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and $P = (P^i)_{i=1}^n$ where $P^i : T^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$;
2. The bidder i confidentially announces to the auctioneer types $t_i \in T$; The auctioneer forms the vector $t = (t_i)_{i=1}^n$
3. The objects are delivered accordingly to $q(t) \in \mathcal{P}$ and bidder i pays $P^i(t)$.

For a given direct mechanism (q, P) we define

$$Q_i(t_i) = E_{-i}[q_i(t)] \text{ and } P^i(t_i) = E_{-i}[P^i(t)]. \quad (1)$$

¹Henceforth called direct mechanisms.

The mechanisms must satisfy incentive compatibility and individual rationality constraints:

$$Q_i(t_i)U^i(t_i) - P^i(t_i) \geq Q_i(t'_i)U^i(t_i) - P^i(t'_i), \forall t'_i, t_i \in T, \quad (\text{IC})$$

$$Q_i(t_i)U^i(t_i) - P^i(t_i) \geq 0. \quad (\text{IR})$$

Let us define the auxiliary function, $V^i : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$V^i(t_i) = Q_i(t_i)U^i(t_i) - P^i(t_i).$$

The compatibility of incentives constraints can be rewritten as

$$V^i(t_i) - V^i(t'_i) \geq Q_i(t'_i)(U^i(t_i) - U^i(t'_i)), \forall t_i, t'_i \in T_i. \quad (\text{IC}')$$

The following lemma is important:

Lemma 1 *There exists a convex function $\phi_i : R^i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $V^i = \phi_i \circ U^i$. Moreover $Q_i(t_i) \in \partial\phi_i(U^i(t_i))$.*

Proof: Note first that if a and c are elements of $(U^i)^{-1}(r)$, $r \in R^i$ then (IC') implies $V^i(a) - V^i(c) \geq Q_i(c) \cdot 0 = 0$. Analogously, $V^i(c) - V^i(a) \geq 0$. Thus $V^i(a) = V^i(c)$. Therefore

$$\phi_i : R^i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \phi_i(r) := V^i(x_r), \text{ where } x_r \in (U^i)^{-1}(r), r \in R^i$$

is well defined. Since

$$\phi_i(\gamma) = V^i(x_\gamma) = \sup_{x' \in T_i} Q_i(x')\gamma - P^i(x')$$

it follows that ϕ_i is a convex function. Moreover, if $\eta = U^i(t_i)$

$$\phi_i(\gamma) - \phi_i(\eta) \geq Q_i(t_i)(\gamma - \eta), \text{ for all } \gamma, \eta \in R^i.$$

Thus, we have that $Q_i(t_i) \in \partial\phi_i(U^i(t_i))$.

QED

For every $u = (u^1, \dots, u^n) \in R = \prod_{i=1}^m R^i$ define

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{q}_i(u) &= E \left[q_i(x) \mid u = (U^j(x_j))_{j=1}^n \right] \text{ and} \\ \vec{P}^i(u) &= E \left[P^i(x) \mid u = (U^j(x_j))_{j=1}^n \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4 *The conditional expectation is only defined almost everywhere. For the step above we need to use a regular conditional distribution, so that the conditional expectation is defined everywhere (see Hoffmann-Jørgensen 10.3, page 120).*

Note first that $\sum_{i=0}^n \vec{q}_i(u) = 1$. Let us calculate $\vec{Q}_i(u^i) = E[\vec{q}_i(u)|u^i]$. We calculate first

$$\begin{aligned} E[\vec{q}_i(u)|u^i] &= E[E[q_i(x)|u]|u^i] = E[q_i(x)|u^i] = \\ E[E[q_i(x)|x_i]|u^i = U^i(x_i)] &= E[Q_i(x_i)|u^i = U^i(x_i)] \in \partial\phi_i(u^i). \end{aligned}$$

The last relation is true since $Q_i(x_i) \in \partial\phi_i(u^i) = [\phi^-(u^i), \phi^+(u^i)]$ and $\phi^-(u^i) = E[\phi^-(u^i)|u^i] \leq E[Q_i(x_i)|u^i = U^i(x_i)] \leq E[\phi^+(u^i)|u^i] = \phi^+(u^i)$.

The incentive compatibility constraints can be rewritten as

$$\vec{Q}_i(u^i) u^i - E[\vec{P}^i(u)|u^i] \geq \vec{Q}_i(u') u^i - E[\vec{P}^i(u)|u'], \quad (\text{IC}')$$

The individual rationality constraint can be rewritten

$$\vec{Q}_i(u^i) u^i - E[\vec{P}^i(u)|u^i] \geq 0.$$

The auctioneer expected revenue is

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n P^i(x)\right] = E\left[E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n P^i(x) \middle| u\right]\right] = E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \vec{P}^i(u)\right].$$

Thus the optimal auction problem is reduced to the one-dimensional optimal auction solved by Myerson. There is one twist however. Myerson's assumptions require that the density function have a positive minimum (i.e., have positive values everywhere). Already we have seen here simple examples where Myerson's everywhere positivity assumption is not satisfied. One can easily see, however, that Myerson's proof works as long as the density is positive and continuous in the *interior* of R^i and this is an assumption that is satisfied by many examples including the ones considered here.

References

1. M. Armstrong, Multiproduct nonlinear pricing, *Econometrica* 64 (1996), pp. 51-75;

2. Hoffmann-Jørgensen, "Probability with a view towards statistics," Vol. II, Chapman & Hall, 1994.
3. R. B. Myerson, Optimal auction design, *Mathematics of operations research*, 6 (1981), pp. 58-73.

ENSAIOS ECONÔMICOS DA EPGE

487. USING IRREGULARLY SPACED RETURNS TO ESTIMATE MULTI-FACTOR MODELS: APPLICATION TO BRAZILIAN EQUITY DATA - Álvaro Veiga; Leonardo Rocha Souza – Junho de 2003 – 26 págs.
488. BOUNDS FOR THE PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION OF THE LINEAR ACD PROCESS – Marcelo Fernandes – Julho de 2003 – 10 págs.
489. CONVEX COMBINATIONS OF LONG MEMORY ESTIMATES FROM DIFFERENT SAMPLING RATES - Leonardo R. Souza; Jeremy Smith; Reinaldo C. Souza – Julho de 2003 – 20 págs.
490. IDADE, INCAPACIDADE E A INFLAÇÃO DO NÚMERO DE PESSOAS COM DEFICIÊNCIA - Marcelo Neri ; Wagner Soares – Julho de 2003 – 54 págs.
491. FORECASTING ELECTRICITY LOAD DEMAND: ANALYSIS OF THE 2001 RATIONING PERIOD IN BRAZIL - Leonardo Rocha Souza; Lacir Jorge Soares – Julho de 2003 – 27 págs.
492. THE MISSING LINK: USING THE NBER RECESSION INDICATOR TO CONSTRUCT COINCIDENT AND LEADING INDICES OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY - JoãoVictor Issler; Farshid Vahid – Agosto de 2003 – 26 págs.
493. REAL EXCHANGE RATE MISALIGNMENTS - Maria Cristina T. Terra; Frederico Estrella Carneiro Valladares – Agosto de 2003 – 26 págs.
494. ELASTICITY OF SUBSTITUTION BETWEEN CAPITAL AND LABOR: A PANEL DATA APPROACH - Samuel de Abreu Pessoa ; Sílvia Matos Pessoa; Rafael Rob – Agosto de 2003 – 30 págs.
495. A EXPERIÊNCIA DE CRESCIMENTO DAS ECONOMIAS DE MERCADO NOS ÚLTIMOS 40 ANOS – Samuel de Abreu Pessoa – Agosto de 2003 – 22 págs.
496. NORMALITY UNDER UNCERTAINTY – Carlos Eugênio E. da Costa – Setembro de 2003 – 08 págs.
497. RISK SHARING AND THE HOUSEHOLD COLLECTIVE MODEL - Carlos Eugênio E. da Costa – Setembro de 2003 – 15 págs.
498. REDISTRIBUTION WITH UNOBSERVED 'EX-ANTE' CHOICES - Carlos Eugênio E. da Costa – Setembro de 2003 – 30 págs.
499. OPTIMAL TAXATION WITH GRADUAL LEARNING OF TYPES - Carlos Eugênio E. da Costa – Setembro de 2003 – 26 págs.
500. AVALIANDO PESQUISADORES E DEPARTAMENTOS DE ECONOMIA NO BRASIL A PARTIR DE CITAÇÕES INTERNACIONAIS - João Victor Issler; Rachel Couto Ferreira – Setembro de 2003 – 29 págs.
501. A FAMILY OF AUTOREGRESSIVE CONDITIONAL DURATION MODELS - Marcelo Fernandes; Joachim Grammig – Setembro de 2003 – 37 págs.
502. NONPARAMETRIC SPECIFICATION TESTS FOR CONDITIONAL DURATION MODELS - Marcelo Fernandes; Joachim Grammig – Setembro de 2003 – 42 págs.

503. A NOTE ON CHAMBERS'S "LONG MEMORY AND AGGREGATION IN MACROECONOMIC TIME SERIES" – Leonardo Rocha Souza – Setembro de 2003 – 11 págs.
504. ON CHOICE OF TECHNIQUE IN THE ROBINSON-SOLOW-SRINIVASAN MODEL - M. Ali Khan – Setembro de 2003 – 34 págs.
505. ENDOGENOUS TIME-DEPENDENT RULES AND THE COSTS OF DISINFLATION WITH IMPERFECT CREDIBILITY - Marco Bonomo; Carlos Viana de Carvalho – Outubro de 2003 – 27 págs.
506. CAPITAIS INTERNACIONAIS: COMPLEMENTARES OU SUBSTITUTOS? - Carlos Hamilton V. Araújo; Renato G. Flôres Jr. – Outubro de 2003 – 24 págs.
507. TESTING PRODUCTION FUNCTIONS USED IN EMPIRICAL GROWTH STUDIES - Pedro Cavalcanti Ferreira; João Victor Issler; Samuel de Abreu Pessoa – Outubro de 2003 – 8 págs.
508. SHOULD EDUCATIONAL POLICIES BE REGRESSIVE ? Daniel Gottlieb; Humberto Moreira – Outubro de 2003 – 25 págs.
509. TRADE AND CO-OPERATION IN THE EU-MERCOSUL FREE TRADE AGREEMENT - Renato G. Flôres Jr. – Outubro de 2003 – 33 págs.
510. OUTPUT CONVERGENCE IN MERCOSUR: MULTIVARIATE TIME SERIES EVIDENCE - Mariam Camarero; Renato G. Flôres Jr; Cecílio Tamarit – Outubro de 2003 – 36 págs.
511. ENDOGENOUS COLLATERAL - Aloísio Araújo; José Fajardo Barbachan; Mario R. Páscoa – Novembro de 2003 – 37 págs.
512. NON-MONOTONE INSURANCE CONTRACTS AND THEIR EMPIRICAL CONSEQUENCES - Aloísio Araujo; Humberto Moreira – Novembro de 2003 – 31 págs.
513. EQUILIBRIA IN SECURITY MARKETS WITH A CONTINUUM OF AGENTS - A. Araujo; V. F. Martins da Rocha; P. K. Monteiro – Novembro de 2003 – 17 págs.
514. SPECULATIVE ATTACKS ON DEBTS AND OPTIMUM CURRENCY AREA: A WELFARE ANALYSIS - Aloísio Araujo; Márcia Leon – Novembro de 2003 – 50 págs.
515. O MÉTODO GENERALIZADO DOS MOMENTOS(MGM): CONCEITOS BÁSICOS - Renato G. Flôres Jr – Novembro de 2003 – 27 págs.
516. VARIÁVEIS INSTRUMENTAIS E O MGM: USO DE MOMENTOS CONDICIONAIS - Renato G. Flôres Jr – Novembro de 2003 – 27 págs.
517. O VALOR DA MOEDA E A TEORIA DOS PREÇOS DOS ATIVOS - Fernando de Holanda Barbosa – Dezembro de 2003 – 17 págs.
518. EMPRESÁRIOS NÁNICOS, GARANTIAS E ACESSO À CRÉDITO - Marcelo Côrtes Néri; Fabiano da Silva Giovanini - Dezembro de 2003 – 23 págs.
519. DESENHO DE UM SISTEMA DE METAS SOCIAIS - Marcelo Côrtes Néri; Marcelo Xerez - Dezembro de 2003 – 24 págs.
520. A NEW INCIDENCE ANALYSIS OF BRAZILIAN SOCIAL POLICIES USING MULTIPLE DATA SOURCES - Marcelo Côrtes Néri - Dezembro de 2003 – 55 págs.

521. AN INTRA-HOUSEHOLD APPROACH TO THE WELFARE COSTS OF INFLATION - Rubens Penha Cysne – Janeiro de 2004 – 16 págs.
522. CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR ASYMMETRIC KERNEL FUNCTIONALS - Marcelo Fernandes; Paulo Klinger Monteiro – Fevereiro de 2004 – 23 págs.
523. THE TRADE-OFF BETWEEN INCENTIVES AND ENDOGENOUS RISK - Aloísio Araujo; Humberto Moreira; Marcos H. Tsuchida – Fevereiro de 2004 – 21 págs.
524. DO DIVIDENDS SIGNAL MORE EARNINGS ? - Aloísio Araujo; Humberto Moreira; Marcos H. Tsuchida – Fevereiro de 2004 – 26 págs.
525. Biased managers, organizational design, and incentive provision - Cristiano M. Costa; Daniel Ferreira; Humberto Moreira – Fevereiro de 2004 – 11 págs.
526. Land taxes in a Latin American context - Juliano J. Assunção; Humberto Moreira – Fevereiro de 2004 - 19 págs.
527. Indicadores coincidentes de atividade econômica e uma cronologia de recessões para o Brasil - Angelo J. Mont'alverne Duarte; João Victor Issler; Andrei Spacov - Fevereiro de 2004 – 41 págs.
528. TESTING UNIT ROOT BASED ON PARTIALLY ADAPTIVE ESTIMATION - Zhijie Xiao; Luiz Renato Lima – Março de 2004 – 27 págs.
529. DO SHOCKS PERMANENTLY CHANGE OUTPUT? LOCAL PERSISTENCY IN ECONOMIC TIME SERIES - Luiz Renato Lima; Zhijie Xiao – Março de 2004 – 21 págs.
530. A NEW PERSPECTIVE ON THE PPP HYPOTHESIS - Soyounng Kim; Luiz Renato Lima – Março de 2004 – 36 págs.
531. TRADE LIBERALIZATION AND INDUSTRIAL CONCENTRATION: EVIDENCE FROM BRAZIL - Pedro Cavalcanti Ferreira; Giovanni Facchini – Março de 2004 - 25 págs.
532. REGIONAL OR EDUCATIONAL DISPARITIES? A COUNTERFACTUAL EXERCISE - Angelo José Mont'Alverne; Pedro Cavalcanti Ferreira; Márcio Antônio Salvato – Março de 2004 – 25 págs.
533. INFLAÇÃO: INÉRCIA E DÉFICIT PÚBLICO – Fernando de Holanda Barbosa – Março de 2004 – 16 págs.
534. A INÉRCIA DA TAXA DE JUROS NA POLÍTICA MONETÁRIA – Fernando de Holanda Barbosa – Março de 2004 – 13 págs.
535. DEBT COMPOSITION AND EXCHANGE RATE BALANCE SHEET EFFECTS IN BRAZIL: A FIRM LEVEL ANALYSIS - Marco Bonomo; Betina Martins ; Rodrigo Pinto – Março de 2004 – 39 págs.
536. THE SET OF EQUILIBRIA OF FIRST-PRICE AUCTIONS - Paulo Klinger Monteiro – Março de 2004 – 6 págs.
537. OPTIMAL AUCTIONS WITH MULTIDIMENSIONAL TYPES AND THE DESIRABILITY OF EXCLUSION - Paulo Klinger Monteiro ; Benar Fux Svaiter; Frank H. Page Jr – Março de 2004 – 8 págs.